

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/649,885	08/26/2003	Thomas Stack	QTI-10502/01	7827
7	590 09/09/2004		EXAM	INER
John G. Posa Gifford, Krass, Groh, Sprinkle, Anderson & Citkowski, P.C. 280 N. Old Woodward Ave., Suite 400 Birmingham, MI 48009-5394			PHILOGENE, HAISSA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2828	
			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/649,885	STACK, THOMAS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Haissa Philogene	2828				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. & 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Au	<u>igust 2003</u> .					
<u> </u>						
S) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		· ·				
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17 and 18</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	•					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 08 January 2004 is/are:	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 January 2004</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive	on No				
application from the International Bureau	` '''					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa	te atent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Art Unit: 282\$

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In page 6, line 21, change "D2" to -T2--. In page 8, line 8, change "and" to -an--.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 3, change "the" before "electrical energy" to –an-. Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 13-16 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75 as being a substantial duplicate of claims 5-8. When two claims in an application are duplicates or else are so close in content that they both cover the same thing, despite a slight difference in wording, it is proper after allowing one claim to object to the other as being a substantial duplicate of the allowed claim. See MPEP § 706.03(k).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 282%

Claims 1-10 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claim 1, the phraseology "the energy does not conform to a predetermined condition" is not understood. The examiner can not tell from that language what the predetermined condition is and how the sensed energy is related to it. Applicant is required to clarify this matter.

Claims 2-10 and 13-16 are rejected by virtue of their dependencies on the independent claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brooks, Patent No. 6,292,339.

Brooks discloses in Fig.2 a shut-down circuit (30) configured for use with an electronic ballast (10) coupled to a lamp (26) in a control path via secondary winding L3, comprising a device (40) for sensing an electrical energy associated with the control path; and a sensing circuit (40-46) for shutting down the ballast in the event that the sensed energy does not conform to a predetermined condition readable as an abnormal

Application/Control Number: 10/649,885

Art Unit: 2826

condition of the lamp or unstable arcing; said energy being current indicative of the lamp removal (see Col.4, lines 48-50) or voltage indicative of arcing or voltage fluctuations caused by unstable arcing (see Col.4, lines 48-56);

Page 4

Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 11, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stack, Patent No. 6,222,322.

Stack discloses in Fig.5 a shut-down circuit (202) configured for use with an electronic ballast (200) coupled to a lamp (FL1) in a control path via isolation transformer T5, comprising a device (R6) for sensing an electrical energy associated with the control path; and a sensing circuit (202) for shutting down the ballast in the event that the sensed energy does not conform to a predetermined condition readable as an abnormal condition of the lamp or unstable arcing; said energy being current indicative of the lamp removal or voltage indicative of arcing or voltage fluctuations caused by unstable arcing (see Col.8, lines 5-7); said device for sensing an electrical energy associated with the control path including an optical isolator (see Col.11, lines 38-46) and electronic componentry (C12, 204, Q3') to disable the sensing circuit during initial energization of the lamp.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brooks.

Brooks discloses the claimed invention substantially as explained above except for the device for sensing the electrical energy or voltage fluctuations in the control path being an isolation transformer instead of a sniffer winding. However, the examiner takes Official Notice of the use of the well-known isolation transformer in the electronic ballast system art for at least isolation purposes. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the well-known isolation transformer into the Brooks type system. This can be done by replacing the Brooks' sniffer winding with the well-known isolation transformer, because it would not only be able to perform sensing but also isolate the lamp circuit from the monitoring circuit, thereby improving the efficiency of the system.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 17 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 7-10, 15 and 16 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2828

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Bay, Patent No. 4,382,212; Jayaraman et al., Patent No. 5,650,694.

## Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Haissa Philogene whose telephone number is (571) 272-1827. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 A.M.-6:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, MinSun Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

hp

Haissa Philogene Primary Examiner A.U. 2821